

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,498	10/30/2003	Sanjay Aiyagari '	50325-0805	9591
29989 7590 02/07/2007 HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP			EXAMINER	
2055 GATEWAY PLACE			KIM, PAUL	
SUITE 550 SAN JOSE, CA	A 95110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	
<u> </u>				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/07/2007	PAPER ·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/698,498	AIYAGARI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paul Kim	2161
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 No. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims	•	
4)	vn from consideration. 8 is/are rejected.	
Application Papers	. .	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite

Application/Control Number: 10/698,498 Page 2

Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is responsive to the following communication: Request for Continued Examination filed on 20 November 2006.

2. Claims 1-4, 6-13, 15-20, 39-42, 44-51, and 53-58 are pending and present for examination.

Claims 1, 10, 18, 39, 48, and 56 are independent.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

3. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 20 November 2006 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

- 4. Claims 1, 10, 18, 39, 48, and 56 have been amended.
- 5. Claims 5, 14, 21-38, 43, 52, and 59 have been cancelled.
- 6. No claims have been added.

Claim Objections

- 7. **Claim 1** is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. Claim 1 recites an "Operation System" in line 15 of the claim. It is believed this is a typographical error and was intended to recite "Operating System." Accordingly, said recitation has been treated as such for the remainder of this Office action. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

8. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

9. **1-4, 6-9, 10-13, 15-17, and 56-58** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Accordingly, both the apparatus and method claims may be considered to be software, per se, since both claims fail to be integrated into a computer hardware system for execution. Therefore, since the claims simply recite but simply recite sections and steps of implementation, said claims constitute non-statutory subject matter since they fail to fall within a statutory category.

Additionally, Claims 6-7 and 15-16 the limitations wherein the user is granted the privilege of performing the resource operation "only if the permission bit allows the operation." The aforementioned claim language provides for optional language wherein if said permission bit disallows the operation, the resource operation is not performed. Hence, the method would therein produce no "useful, concrete, and tangible result" in that the electronic document is not expanded. See State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02. MPEP 2106. "The claimed invention as a whole must accomplish a practical application. That is, it must produce a 'useful, concrete and tangible result' " (emphasis added). The Examiner further notes that a plurality of the remaining claims contain optional language by reciting "if" statements (e.g. claims 44, 45, and etc.).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,498 Page 4

Art Unit: 2161

11. Claim 1-4, 7-11, 13, 16-17, 18-20, 39-42, 45-49, 51 and 54-58 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Indicula et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,950,822, hereinafter referred to as INDICULA), filed on 25 November 2002, and issued on 27 September 2005, in view of Deinhart et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,911,143, hereinafter referred to as DEINHART), filed on 14 August 1995, and issued on 8 June 1999.

12. **As per claims 1, 10, 18, 39, 48 and 56,** INDICULA, in combination with DEINHART, discloses:

A method for controlling access to a resource, the method comprising the steps of:

- creating and storing in a filesystem of an Operating System a file that represents the resource {See IDICULA, C4:L42-56, wherein this reads over "session objects 122, one or more process state objects 130a, 130b, collectively referenced hereinafter as process state objects 130, and a session pool object 140. In object-oriented technologies, an object is a data structure that stores data that indicates one or more attributes or methods or both"};
- receiving user-identifying information from a user requesting access to the resource, wherein the user-identifying information comprises a role associated with the user {See IDICULA, C5:L11-13, wherein this reads over "user information that indicates a user of the associated connection, the user's roles, and the user's privileges, among other information about the user"}, wherein the role is determined from a user identifier uniquely associated with the user and from a group identifier associated with a group that includes the user {See DEINHART, C1:L31-36, wherein this reads over "[i]n most of the installed computer systems access rights are granted or revoked explicitly for individual users or group of users on respective data or, more generally, on respective objects by a system administrator"};
- receiving a resource identifier associated with the resource {See IDICULA, C7:L19-35, wherein this reads over "[i]f a session is already created for this client, a session object 122 associated with the client is indicated in the process state object 130"};
- creating an access identifier based on the user-identifying information and the resource identifier, wherein the access identifier is formatted as a file attribute that is used by the Operating System to manage file access {See IDICULA, C4:L42-56, wherein this reads over "session objects 122, one or more process state objects 130a, 130b, collectively referenced hereinafter as process state objects 130, and a session pool object 140. In object-oriented technologies, an object is a data structure that stores data that indicates one or more attributes or methods or both");
- calling the Operating System to perform a file operation on the file by providing the access identifier to the Operation System to attempt access to the file {See IDICULA, C1:L52-62, wherein this reads over "[a] session is a related series of one or more requests for services made over a communication channel. The channel is typically established by the operating system of the host for the database server"; and C7:L19-30, wherein this reads over "[i]f a session is already created for this client, a session object 122 associate with the client is indicated in the process state object 130; and that session object 122 is used"}; and
- granting the user access to the resource when the Operating System call successfully performs the file operation {See IDICULA, C7:L20-21, wherein this reads over "a request is received from database client 102a for database services"};

Application/Control Number: 10/698,498

Art Unit: 2161

wherein the file operation on the file representing the resource is selected from a group consisting of opening the file, closing the file, deleting the file, reading from the file, writing to the file, executing the file, appending to the file, reading a file attribute, and writing a file attribute {See IDICULA, C7:L19-30, wherein this reads over "[i]f a session is already created for this client, a session object 122 associate with the client is indicated in the process state object 130; and that session object 122 is used"}.

While INDICULA fails to expressly disclose the determination of a role "from a user identifier uniquely associated with the user and from a group identifier associated with a group that includes the user," DEINHART discloses the grant or revocation of access rights for "individual users or group of users . . . on respective objects." Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above invention suggested by INDICULA by combining it with the invention disclosed by DEINHART.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this modification so that where a user falls within a classified group of users (e.g. System Administrator or Guest), a user identifier may be associated with the user accordingly.

- 13. **As per dependent claims 2, 11, 19, 40, 49 and 57**, it would be inherent for the role identifier and resource identifier to be stored in a first and second set of bits, respectively, since files are comprised of a sequence of bits.
- 14. **As per dependent claims 3, 20, 41 and 58**, INDICULA, in combination with DEINHART, discloses:

A method as recited in Claim 1, wherein:

- the step of creating an access identifier based on the user-identifying information and the resource identifier comprises formatting the access identifier as a group identifier file attribute {See DEINHART, C1:L31-36, wherein this reads over "[i]n most of the installed computer systems access rights are granted or revoked explicitly for individual users or group of users on respective data or, more generally, on respective objects by a system administrator"); and
- the step of calling the Operating System to perform an operation on the file representing the resource comprises:
 - assigning the access identifier to a group identifier attribute of an Operating System process (See IDICULA, C4:L42-56, wherein this reads over "session objects 122, one or more process state objects 130a, 130b, collectively referenced hereinafter as process

Application/Control Number: 10/698,498

Art Unit: 2161

state objects 130, and a session pool object 140. In object-oriented technologies, an object is a data structure that stores data that indicates one or more attributes or methods or both"}; and

calling an Operating System routine from the Operating System process to perform the operation on the file representing the resource {See IDICULA, C1:L52-62, wherein this reads over "[a] session is a related series of one or more requests for services made over a communication channel. The channel is typically established by the operating system of the host for the database server"; and C7:L19-30, wherein this reads over "[i]f a session is already created for this client, a session object 122 associate with the client is indicated in the process state object 130; and that session object 122 is used"}.

15. **As per dependent claims 4, 13, 42 and 51**, INDICULA, in combination with DEINHART, discloses:

A method as recited in Claim 1,

wherein the step of calling the Operating System to perform an operation on the file representing the resource comprises comparing the access identifier to an identifier included in an Access Control List file attribute associated with the file representing the resource {See DEINHART, C1:L31-41, wherein this reads over "[w]hen an access request occurs during operation time of the computer system from a user or, more generally, from a subject to the object, then the security system looks at the access control list of the respective object and decides whether the subject may access the object in the request manner"},

wherein the Access Control List file attribute includes the identifiers of all users and all groups of users allowed to access the file representing the resource {See DEINHART, C1:L31-36, wherein this reads over "[i]n most of the installed computer systems access rights are granted or revoked explicitly for individual users or group of users on respective data or, more generally, on respective objects by a system administrator".

- 16. **As per dependent claims 7, 16, 45 and 54**, the claim does not carry patentable weight since the claim recites the file operation of "opening the file representing the resource," which was optionally recited in claims 1, 10, 18, 22, 31, 39, 48 and 56 (i.e. "wherein the file operation on the file representing the resource is selected from a group consisting of opening the file, closing the file, deleting the file, reading from the file, writing to the file, executing the file, appending to the file, reading a file attribute, and writing a file attribute"), upon which the said respective claims depend. Therefore, since the opening of the file is optional and not necessary to the claimed invention, the claim is rejected.
- 17. **As per dependent claims 8, 17, 46 and 55**, INDICULA, in combination with DEINHART, discloses:

A method as recited in Claim 1, wherein the step of representing the resource by a file stored in the Operating System filesystem comprises:

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/698,498

Art Unit: 2161

creating the file representing the resource in the Operating System filesystem {See IDICULA, C4:L42-56, wherein this reads over "session objects 122, one or more process state objects 130a, 130b, collectively referenced hereinafter as process state objects 130, and a session pool object 140. In object-oriented technologies, an object is a data structure that stores data that indicates one or more attributes or methods or both"}; and

assigning an access value to a file attribute of the file representing the resource, the file attribute being used by the Operating System to manage file access {See IDICULA, C4:L42-56, wherein this reads over "session objects 122, one or more process state objects 130a, 130b, collectively referenced hereinafter as process state objects 130, and a session pool object 140. In object-oriented technologies, an object is a data structure that stores data that indicates one or more attributes or methods or both"}, wherein the access value corresponds to a combination of a role {See IDICULA, C5:L11-13, wherein this reads over "user information that indicates a user of the associated connection, the user's roles, and the user's privileges, among other information about the user"} and a resource {See IDICULA, C7:L19-35, wherein this reads over "[i]f a session is already created for this client, a session object 122 associated with the client is indicated in the process state object 130"}.

- 18. As per dependent claims 9 and 47, INDICULA, in combination with DEINHART, discloses:
 - A method as recited in Claim 8, wherein the file attribute used by the Operating System to manage file access is a group identifier file attribute {See DEINHART, C1:L31-36, wherein this reads over "[i]n most of the installed computer systems access rights are granted or revoked explicitly for individual users or group of users on respective data or, more generally, on respective objects by a system administrator"}.
- 19. **Claims 6, 12, 15, 44, 50 and 53** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Indicula et al, in view of Deinhart et al, and in further view of Lewis (U.S. Patent No. 6,233,576, hereinafter referred to as LEWIS), filed on 25 September 1995, and issued on 15 May 2001.
- 20: **As per dependent claims 6, 15, 44 and 53**, INDICULA, in combination with DEINHART and LEWIS, discloses:

A method as recited in Claim 1, the method further comprising the steps of:

- reading a permission bit associated with the file representing the resource, wherein the permission bit corresponds to the operation performable on the file representing the resource (See LEWIS, C14:L6-12, wherein this reads over "derive the authorization file names and the permission bits (from the resource class and name), and to apply the appropriate permissions");
- based on the operation on the file indicated by the permission bit, determining a resource operation that is performable on the resource {See LEWIS, C16:L64-C17:L4, wherein this reads over "[t]he resulting access rights consist of a three bit filed with the following meanings..."; and
- granting the user the privilege of performing the resource operation on the resource (See DEINHART, C1:L31-41, wherein this reads over "[w]hen an access request occurs during operation time of the computer system from a user or, more generally, from a subject to the object, then the security system looks at the access control list of the respective object and decides whether

Application/Control Number: 10/698,498

Art Unit: 2161

the subject may access the object in the request manner" only if the permission bit allows the operation to be performed on the file representing the resource (See LEWIS, C17:L5-9).

While INDICULA and DEINHART fail to expressly disclose the use of permission bits in determining user privileges, LEWIS discloses the use of permission bits which signify Read, Write, or Execute authority. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above invention suggested by INDICULA and DEINHART by combining it with the invention disclosed by LEWIS.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this modification so that files may contain permission bits which allow users the permission to certain operations on the file.

- 21. Claims 6, 12, 15, 44, 50 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Indicula et al, in view of Deinhart et al, and in further view of Official Notice.
- 22. **As per dependent claims 12 and 50**, INDICULA, in combination with DEINHART and Official Notice, discloses:
 - A method as recited in Claim 10, wherein the step of making an Operating System call to perform an operation on the file representing the resource comprises:
 - storing the group identifier value of a group identifier attribute of an Operating System process {See DEINHART, C1:L31-36, wherein this reads over "[i]n most of the installed computer systems access rights are granted or revoked explicitly for individual users or group of users on respective data or, more generally, on respective objects by a system administrator";
 - assigning the access identifier to the group identifier attribute of the Operating System process {See IDICULA, C4:L42-56, wherein this reads over "session objects 122, one or more process state objects 130a, 130b, collectively referenced hereinafter as process state objects 130, and a session pool object 140. In object-oriented technologies, an object is a data structure that stores data that indicates one or more attributes or methods or both"};
 - calling an Operating System routine from the Operating System process to perform the operation on the file representing the resource (See IDICULA, C1:L52-62, wherein this reads over "[a] session is a related series of one or more requests for services made over a communication channel. The channel is typically established by the operating system of the host for the database server"; and C7:L19-30, wherein this reads over "[i]f a session is already created for this client, a session object 122 associate with the client is indicated in the process state object 130; and that session object 122 is used"}, wherein the operation on the file representing the resource is performed only if the value of the group identifier attribute of the Operating System process matches the value of the group identifier file attribute of the file representing the resource (See IDICULA, C7:L20-21, wherein this reads over "a request is received from database client 102a for database services"}; and

Art Unit: 2161

resetting the group identifier attribute of the Operating System process to the stored

group identifier value {See Official Notice}.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to reset the group identifier attribute of the Operating System process to the stored group identifier value. That is, where a group identifier is set, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the capability to reset said group identifier attribute accordingly.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 and 39-59 have been considered but are moot 23.

in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should 24.

be directed to Paul Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2737. The examiner can normally be

reached on M-F, 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu.

Mofiz can be reached on (571) 272-4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at

866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or

access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000,

Paul Kim

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161

SAM RIMELL PRIMARY EXAMINER